AO 120 (Rev. 08/10)

TO:

Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450			TRADEMARK	
filed in the U.S. Dist		for the	§ 1116 you are hereby advised that a court a District of Delaware es 35 U.S.C. § 292.):	ction has been on the following
DOCKET NO. 21-534-68W	DATE FILED 4/14/2021	U.S. D	STRICT COURT for the District of Dela	ware
PLAINTIFF			DEFENDANT	774.0
BEARBOX LLC and AUSTIN STORMS			LANCIUM LLC, MICHAEL T. MCNAMARA, and RAYMOND E. CLINE, JR.	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	
1 10,608,433	3/31/2020	Lan	Lancium LLC	
2				
3				
4				
5			The second secon	-
DATE INCLUDED	In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: CLUDED INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading			
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		HOLDER OF PATENT OR TR	ADEMARK
1				
2				
4				
5				
.5				
In the above	e—entitled case, the following	g decision h	as been rendered or judgement issued:	
See attached				
CLERK (BY) DEPU			CLERK	DATE
John A. Cerino				4-5-2023

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

BEARBOX LLC and AUSTIN STORMS,

Plaintiffs,

v.

C.A. No. 21-534-GBW-CJB

LANCIUM LLC, MICHAEL T. MCNAMARA, and RAYMOND E. CLINE, JR.,

Defendants.

FINAL JUDGMENT

This action, having come before the Court for a bench trial from December 6 through December 8, 2022, the Honorable Gregory B. Williams, United States District Judge, presiding, and the Court having heard the evidence and testimony of witnesses of Plaintiffs BearBox LLC and Austin Storms (collectively, "Plaintiffs") and Defendants Lancium LLC, Michael T. McNamara, and Raymond E. Cline, Jr. (collectively, "Defendants");

AND WHEREAS the Court issued a post-trial Opinion (D.I. 262) and Order (D.I. 263) on March 6, 2023, finding in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiffs on Count I and Count II of Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint (D.I. 103) (the "SAC"), which alleged claims seeking to correct inventorship for U.S. Patent No. 10,608,433 (the "'433 Patent") to name Austin Storms as the sole or a joint inventor of the '433 Patent;

AND WHEREAS the Court issued a Minute Entry on April 25, 2022 striking Count III and Count IV of the SAC, which alleged claims of trade secret misappropriation against Defendants;

AND WHEREAS the Court issued a Memorandum Opinion (D.I. 212) and Order (D.I. 213) on October 7, 2022, adopting a Report and Recommendation issued on May 26, 2022

(D.I. 143), dismissing with prejudice Count VI of the SAC alleging unjust enrichment against Defendants;

AND WHEREAS the Court issued a Memorandum Opinion (D.I. 230) and Order (D.I. 231) on November 14, 2022 granting summary judgment in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiffs on Count V of the SAC alleging conversion against Defendants;

AND WHEREAS the Court issued an Order (D.I. 97) on February 2, 2022, adopting a Report and Recommendation issued on January 18, 2022 (D.I. 92), dismissing with prejudice Count V (negligent misrepresentation) of Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint (D.I. 19);

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED, as of the date written below, that Final Judgment is entered as follows:

- Final Judgment is entered in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiffs on Count I
 of the SAC seeking to correct inventorship of the '433 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 256 to name
 Austin Storms the sole inventor of the '433 Patent;
- 2. Final Judgment is entered in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiffs on Count II of the SAC seeking to correct inventorship of the '433 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 256 to name Austin Storms a joint inventor of the '433 Patent;
- Final Judgment is entered in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiffs on Counts
 III-VI of the SAC alleging trade secret misappropriation, conversion, and unjust enrichment,
 respectively;
- Final Judgment is entered in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiffs on Count
 V of the Amended Complaint alleging negligent misrepresentation;

5. Final Judgment is entered in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiffs on Count I

of Defendants' Second Amended Counterclaims (D.I. 145) (the "Counterclaims") seeking

declaratory judgment that Austin Storms is not an inventor of the '433 Patent;

6. Final Judgment is entered in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiffs on Count II

of the Counterclaims seeking declaratory judgment that Austin Storms has no ownership rights in

the '433 Patent;

7. Final Judgment is entered in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiffs on Count

III of the Counterclaims seeking declaratory judgment that Defendants did not steal or otherwise

improperly obtain or use any information from Plaintiffs.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the deadline for any party to move for costs and/or

attorney's fees (including, but not limited to, under 35 U.S.C. § 285) is fourteen (14) days after

the entry of this Final Judgment.

SO ORDERED AND FINAL JUDGMENT IS HEREBY ENTERED

this day of

. 2023.

THE HONORABLE GREGORY B. WILLIAMS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE